

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Valent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bot 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/889,559	07/27/2001	Jiro Kumakura	010885	7491	
38834	4 7590 12/06/2005		EXAMINER		
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700			BARQADLE	BARQADLE, YASIN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20036		2153		
			DATE MAILED: 12/06/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/889,559	KUMAKURA, JIRO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Yasin M. Barqadle	2153		

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🔀 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 11/04/2005. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7.  $\square$  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  $\square$  will not be entered, or b)  $\square$  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. 🔯 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. 📈 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper 13. Other: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100** 

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument in page 6, that "the examiner fails to provide any rebuttal concerning applicatnt's position that such teachings in the primary reference to Heilsberg are directly contrary to the present invention that avoids inserting such additional information between each item, and that the primary reference to Heilsberg is contrary to the present claimed "serializing all items contained in the data attribute table and retrieval data table into a singl string without adding additional information between the items." Examiner notes that Heilsberg teaches "The provider, in response to the request, will undertake the necessary steps to get the data from the data source (e.g., SQL database tables) located on a database server operating on the back end or third tier. The request is honored by the provider returning a data "snapshot" of the requested data or "result data set." Data is actually returned to the client by means of a "data packet" of the present invention," (col. 2, lines 64 to col. 3, line 4). Heilsberg further teaches "Row data 430 includes the actual data of the data set. In the currently-preferred embodiment, only actual data is transmitted. If a data row contains a null value, for instance, no data is transmitted. Thus, in contrast to conventional storage methodology, null data is not stored by padding out to a fixed length storage unit. This is made possible since the client has access to descriptor information and is able to correctly interpret the data row information as it is streamed in. By eliminating non-information and given the streaming format, the system can transmit data in a compact format, one which it is optimized for transmission across a communication network." col. 3, lines 27-40, "Processing of actual data also occurs sequentially, as the information is being written out to the stream. In particular, the system loops through all data records of the result set and writes or streams out the corresponding field values, at step 505. Here, only actual data up is written out. Since the client can correctly interpret the stream from the descriptors, empty fields (e.g., ones containing padding) are not written out. After all fields of all records have been processed, a special value is written to the stream for indicating the "end of stream," at step 506." (Col. 20 lines 64 to col. 21, line 7). Therefore, Heilsberg teaches processing actual data sequentially and after all the fields of all records have been processed adding an indicator at the end of the stream (not between records or fields).